

THOMAS C. HORNE
The Attorney General
Firm No. 14000

3

Ann Hobart, No. 019129 Jennifer Larson, No. 025028

5 | A

Assistant Attorneys General Civil Rights Division

6 |

1275 West Washington Street

7 | Phoenix, AZ 85007

Telephone: (602) 542-8860 CivilRights@azag.gov

8 ||

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

THE STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. THOMAS C. HORNE, the Attorney General, and THE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION OF THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF LAW,

Plaintiff,

V

MAUISUN COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC., an Arizona corporation, d/b/a Freedom Smoke USA,

Defendant.

No. C20124172

STIPULATION TO CONSENT DECREE

(Honorable Richard E. Gordon)

Plaintiff the State of Arizona ("State") and Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. ("Defendant") hereby stipulate and agree to entry of a Consent Decree, in the form attached as Exhibit A, to resolve all of the State's claims in the above-captioned case.

26

27

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 22nd day of October, 2012. 1 2 Thomas C. Home 3 Attorney General 4 5 Jennifer M. Larson Paul A. Loucks Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C. 6 Ann Hobart Attorneys for Defendant Assistant Attorneys General 7 Attorneys for State of Arizona 8 9 10 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 11 12 I hereby certify that on this 22nd day of October, 2012, a copy of the filed original STIPULATION TO CONSENT DECREE was sent via Regular US mail to: 13 14 Paul A. Loucks Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C. 15 259 North Meyer Avenue 16 Tucson, AZ 85701 Attorneys for Defendant 17 18 By: Oheun Botro 19 20 #2884871 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBITA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

THOMAS C. HORNE

The Attorney General Firm No. 14000

Ann Hobart, No. 019129

Jennifer Larson, No. 025028

Assistant Attorneys General Civil Rights Division

1275 West Washington Street

Phoenix, AZ 85007

Telephone: (602) 542-8860

<u>CivilRights@azag.gov</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

THE STATE OF ARIZONA ex rel. THOMAS C. HORNE, the Attorney General, and THE CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION OF THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF LAW,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAUISUN COMPUTER SYSTEMS, INC., an Arizona corporation, d/b/a Freedom Smoke USA,

Defendant.

No. C20124172

[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE

(Honorable Richard E. Gordon)

On July 6, 2012, Plaintiff the State of Arizona ("State") filed the above-captioned lawsuit in Pima County Superior Court against Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleging that Defendant discriminated against Lupita Lopez ("Lopez") and other similarly situated female employees, including Maria Cornejo and Yareli Sierra, in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1463 and retaliated against such employees in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1464(A). Defendant has denied and continues to deny the allegations of the Complaint.

#2839806

COMPROMISE OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The State and Defendant desire to resolve the issues raised in the Complaint to avoid the time, expense, and uncertainty of further contested litigation. The State and Defendant expressly acknowledge that this Consent Decree is the compromise of disputed claims, that Defendant denies all the claims, and that there has been no adjudication of any claim or finding of any liability on the part of Defendant. Defendant and the State agree to be bound by this Consent Decree and to not contest that it was validly entered into in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms. The parties therefore have consented to its entry, waiving trial, findings of fact, and conclusions of law.

It appearing to the Court that entry of this Consent Decree will further the objectives of the Arizona Civil Rights Act ("ACRA"), and that its terms fully protect the parties and the public with respect to the matters within its scope, IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over the parties, and venue in Pima County is proper. The allegations of the Complaint, if proved, are sufficient to state claims upon which relief could be granted against Defendant under the ACRA.

RESOLUTION OF THE LAWSUIT

2. This Consent Decree resolves all issues set forth in the Complaint.

NO RETALIATION

3. Defendant will not retaliate against any person in any way for that person's opposition to a practice made unlawful by the ACRA, or for their participation in the State's proceedings or litigation, and will make any future employment decisions concerning parties and witnesses on a nondiscriminatory basis.

POSTER

4. Defendant agrees to keep posted at all times in a conspicuous, well-lighted place frequented by the business's employees and applicants for employment, in each location the business maintains and operates within the State of Arizona, a poster which states, in

.12

#2839806

 English and Spanish, that discrimination in employment based on race, color, religion, age (40 and older), sex, national origin, results of genetic testing or disability is prohibited.

ANTI-DISCRIMINATION POLICIES

- 5. Defendant agrees to comply fully with the provisions of the ACRA (A.R.S. § 41-1401, et seq., as amended) and that all such business's employment practices, including hiring processes, and the terms, conditions and privileges of employment, will be conducted and maintained in a manner which does not discriminate on the basis of race, national origin, color, disability, sex, religion, genetic testing or age.
- 6. Within sixty (60) days, Defendant will create a written employment policy for such business, subject to review by the Arizona Civil Rights Division ("ACRD"), prohibiting sexual harassment as defined by federal and Arizona law, and setting out a procedure for complaining of allegations of sexual harassment without suffering retaliation. At a minimum, this policy will contain:
 - i. a strong and clear statement that sexual harassment is against state and federal law and will not be tolerated in the workplace;
 - ii. a statement encouraging persons who believe they have experienced sexual harassment at work to complain of sexual harassment and that such complaints may be made to the ACRD;
 - iii. the phone number, website, and physical address of the ACRD;
 - iv. a statement that unlawful discrimination and/or retaliation violates state and federal civil rights laws;
 - v. a statement of assurance of non-retaliation for persons who believe they have been subjected to sexual harassment and for witnesses interviewed during an investigation into allegations of harassment.

Also within sixty (60) days, Defendant agrees to provide a copy of the policy to Jennifer Larson, Assistant Attorney General ("AAG Larson"), Arizona Attorney General's Office, 1275 W. Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, or her successors, for the ACRD's review. Within ten (10) days of receiving the ACRD's approval, Defendant will disseminate the policy to all employees.

1.

7. Prior to the entry of this Consent Decree, Defendant has provided two (2) hours of new training regarding employment discrimination, workplace harassment and investigation techniques for complaints of sexual harassment to its managers and one (1) hour of new training regarding employment discrimination and workplace harassment to its employees.

8. During the length of this Consent Decree, Defendant will distribute to all supervisory and management employees all policies and procedures developed or modified pursuant to this Decree.

MODIFICATION

9. There will be no modification of this Consent Decree without the written consent of all the parties and the further order of the Court. In the event of a material change of circumstances, Defendant and the State agree to make a good faith effort to resolve this matter. If the parties are unable to reach agreement, either party may ask the Court to make such modifications as are appropriate.

CONTINUING JURISDICTION OF THE COURT

Decree and the State and Defendant for two (2) years from the date of its entry to effectuate and enforce it. The State may, for good cause shown, petition this Court for compliance with this Consent Decree at any time during the period that this Court maintains jurisdiction over this action. Should the Court determine that Defendant has not complied with its terms, appropriate relief, including extension of this Consent Decree for such period as may be necessary to remedy its non-compliance, may be ordered. In the event the parties have not stipulated and the court has not ordered an extension of this Consent Decree, the Consent Decree will automatically expire and the Court will lose jurisdiction over this action two (2) years after entry of the Consent Decree.

MONETARY RELIEF TO THE AGGRIEVED PARTY

11. Ms. Lopez, Ms. Cornejo and Ms. Sierra are pursuing their remedies in a separate lawsuit currently pending in federal district court.

RELEASE

12. Except for the obligations of Defendant that are expressly set forth in this Decree, Defendant and its past, present, and future officers, employees, agents, affiliates, parents, successors and assigns are released from any and all civil liability to the State for the claims alleged in the Complaint.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- 13. The Consent Decree will be binding on the State and Defendant, as well as Defendant's agents, employees, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant.
- 14. The State and Defendant represent that they have read this Consent Decree in its entirety and are satisfied that they understand and agree to all of its provisions, and represent that they have freely signed this Consent Decree without coercion.
- 15. This Consent Decree will be governed in all respects by the laws of the State of Arizona.
- 16. The State and Defendant will bear their respective attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action up to the date of entry of this Decree. In any action brought to assess or enforce the State's or Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Decree, the court may in its discretion award reasonable costs and attorneys' fees to the prevailing party.

EFFECTUATING CONSENT DECREE

17. The parties agree to the entry of this Consent Decree upon final approval by the Court. The effective date of this Consent Decree will be the date that it is entered by this Court.

CHARLES V. HARRINGTON

The Honorable Richard E. Gordon

CONSENT TO DECREE

- On behalf of Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc., I acknowledge that I 1. have read the foregoing Consent Decree, and that Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. is aware of its right to a trial in this matter and has waived that right.
- Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. agrees to the jurisdiction of the 2. Court, and consents to entry of this Consent Decree.
- Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. states that no promise of any kind 3. or nature whatsoever (other than the terms of this Consent Decree) was made to induce it to enter into this Consent Decree, that it has entered into this Consent Decree voluntarily, and that this Consent Decree constitutes the entire agreement between the parties.
- I, DAVIS DEMOSSEM the V.P./C.0.0 of Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc., and as 4. such, am authorized by Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. to enter into this Consent Decree for and on its behalf.
- I further state that Defendant Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc. has been 5. represented by counsel in this case, and that the terms of this Consent Decree have been explained to me to my satisfaction, and are fully understood by me.

DATED this 12 day of OCTOBER, 2012.

Mauisun Computer Systems, Inc.

By DAVID DETTLOFF
Its Detter

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 26

27

1	State of Arizona)
2) ss.
3	County of Pima)
4	
5	
6	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 16 day of 0 Chiber, 2012,
7	By David Detloff.
8	
9	OFFICIAL SEAL JULIAN (1887)
10	GRELDA CASTRO NOTARY PUBLIC ARIZONA Notary Public
11	PIMA COUNTY
12	My Commission Expires:
13	11-5-2015
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11

#2839806

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

WIKO AED WE LO LOKKE VIEW COLLECT	
THOMAS C. HORNE	MESCH, CLARK, & ROTHSCHILD, P.C.
Attorney General	
By Jany	By Paul A. Noucks
Jennifer Larson Assistant Attorney General	Attorney for Defendant
, , ,	1// -
Date 10/18/20/2	Date Och 16, 2012
, , 	
	•